arXiv:1610.09829v1 [math.GR] 31 Oct 2016

ON THE EMBEDDING OF CENTRAL EXTENSIONS INTO WREATH PRODUCTS

ANDREI V. ZAVARNITSINE

Abstract. We find a necessary condition for the embedding of a central extension of a group G with elementary abelian kernel into the wreath product that corresponds to a permutation action of G. The proof uses purely group-theoretic methods.

Keywords: permutation module, central extension, wreath product.
MSC2010: 20D99

The finite group $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, q odd, acts naturally by permutations on the projective line of order $q+1$. In [5], we studied the embedding of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ into the wreath product of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and G that corresponds to this permutation action. This problem was generalized in [6] to arbitrary groups $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ and their central extensions with kernel of prime order. In this paper, we obtain a further generalisation of these results.

Let G be a finite group, Ω a finite set, and let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a permutation representation. For $\omega \in \Omega$ we denote by $\mathrm{St}(\omega)$ the stabilizer of ω in G.

For a commutative unital ring A of prime characteristic p, consider the (right) permutation AG-module V corresponding to ρ with basis (identified with) Ω and its submodule

$$0 \to I \to V \tag{1}$$

generated by $\omega_0 = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} \omega$. Clearly, there is an AG-module isomorphism $\alpha : A \to I$ defined by $\alpha : 1 \mapsto \omega_0$. Let $G \leftthreetimes V$ denote the natural semidirect product.

Assume that we also have a central extension

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\iota} H \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1, \tag{2}$$

i. e. one with $\mathrm{Im}\,\iota \leqslant \mathrm{Z}(H)$, where we identify A with its additive group A^+. A subgroup $S \leqslant G$ is *liftable* to H if the full preimage $S\pi^{-1}$ splits over $\mathrm{Im}\,\iota$. We say that H is a *subextension* of $G \leftthreetimes V$ with respect to the embedding (1), if there is an embedding $\beta : H \to G \leftthreetimes V$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & H & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & G \leftthreetimes V & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1, \end{array} \tag{3}$$

where we identify $I = \mathrm{Im}\,\alpha$ with its image in V under (1).

The main result to be proved in Section 4 is the following necessary condition.

Theorem 1. *In the above notation, if a central extension H is a subextension of $G \leftthreetimes V$ with respect to the embedding* (1) *then* $\mathrm{St}(\omega)$ *is liftable to H for every $\omega \in \Omega$.*

The proof generalises some ideas presented in [5, 6]. In particular, we also prove an auxiliary result about presentations of p-groups.

Let $F = F\langle X\rangle$ be a free group with basis X. Every $w \in F$ can be written in the form

$$w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_t^{\varepsilon_t},$$

where $x_i \in X$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. For $x \in X$, we define

$$\mu_x(w) = \sum_{x_i = x} \varepsilon_i.$$

The following fact is proven in Section 3.

Proposition 2. *Every finite p-group P has a finite presentation $\langle\, X \mid R \,\rangle$ such that $\mu_x(r) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for all $x \in X$ and $r \in R$.*

1. Fox derivatives

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and let $F = F\langle X\rangle$ be a free group with basis X. Recall that the (right) *Fox derivative* $\partial/\partial x_i : F \to \mathbb{Z}F$ is the map satisfying $\partial x_j/\partial x_i = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and

$$\frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\, v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$$

for all $u, v \in F$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Let $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F$ and write

$$w = x_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l},$$

where $x_{i_k} \in X$ and $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$ for all k. It can be shown [2, Proposition 2.73] that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{\{k \,\mid\, i_k = i\}} \varepsilon_k f_k,$$

where

$$f_k = \begin{cases} x_{i_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l}, & \varepsilon_k = 1, \\ x_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k} x_{i_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l}, & \varepsilon_k = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Let G be a group and V a G-module. Fixing a homomorphism $F \to G \leftthreetimes V$ we write the image of each x_i as $g_i v_i$ for suitable $g_i \in G$, $v_i \in V$. Then using the additive notation in V we can write

$$w(g_1 v_1, \dots, g_n v_n) = w(g_1, \dots, g_n)(v_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial g_1} + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial w}{\partial g_n}), \tag{5}$$

where $\partial/\partial g_i$ is the short-hand notation for the composition of $\partial/\partial x_i$ and the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}F \to \mathbb{Z}G$ which extends the map $x_i \mapsto g_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. For details, see [4, §1.9].

2. Presentations of group extensions

Let

$$1 \to N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \to 1$$

be a short exact sequence of groups. Suppose that N has presentation $\langle\, \overline{Y} \mid \overline{S} \,\rangle$ and Q has presentation $\langle\, \overline{X} \mid \overline{R} \,\rangle$. Using this information it is possible to describe a presentation of G. Let Y be the image of $\overline{Y}$ under $\iota : \overline{y} \mapsto y$ and let

$$S = \{\, s \mid \overline{s} \in \overline{S} \,\},$$

where s is the word in Y obtained from $\overline{s}$ by replacing each $\overline{y}$ with y. Choose $X \subseteq G$ so that $x\pi = \overline{x} \in \overline{X}$ for all $x \in X$. For every $\overline{r} \in \overline{R}$, let r be the word in X

obtained from $\overline{r}$ by replacing each $\overline{x}$ with x. Clearly, r as an element of G lies in $\operatorname{Ker}\pi = \operatorname{Im}\iota$ and so is a word, say w_r, in Y. Define

$$R = \{\, rw_r^{-1} \mid \overline{r} \in \overline{R}\,\}.$$

Also, since $\operatorname{Im}\iota \trianglelefteqslant G$, the element $x^{-1}yx$ lies in $\operatorname{Im}\iota$ for all $y \in Y, x \in X$ and so is a word, say w_{xy}, in Y. We set

$$T = \{\, x^{-1}yxw_{xy}^{-1} \mid \overline{x} \in \overline{X},\ \overline{y} \in \overline{Y}\,\}.$$

Proposition 3. [3, Proposition 10.2.1], [2, Proposition 2.55] *In the above notation,*

$$\langle\, X \cup Y \mid R \cup S \cup T\,\rangle \tag{6}$$

is a presentation of G.

3. Proof of Proposition 2

Recall that $\Omega_1(P)$ denotes the subgroup of a p-group P generated by all elements of order p.

Proof. We use induction on $|P|$. If $|P| = 1$, the claim holds. Assume $|P| > 1$ and let $N = \Omega_1(\mathrm{Z}(P))$. Note that N is a nontrivial elementary abelian p-group and

$$1 \to N \xrightarrow{\iota} P \to Q \to 1$$

is a central extension. By induction, Q has a finite presentation $\langle \overline{X} \mid \overline{R}\rangle$ that satisfies the required property. Clearly, N also has a presentation $\langle \overline{Y} \mid \overline{S}\,\rangle$, where $\overline{Y}$ is finite and

$$\overline{S} = \{\, \overline{y}^{\,p},\, [\overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2] \ \mid\ \overline{y}, \overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2 \in \overline{Y}\,\},$$

which has the required property. Note that we may take any basis of N as $\overline{Y}$. We define the sets of generators X and Y and relators R, S, and T as before Proposition 3, where $G = P$. Since the relators in S are rewritten from those of $\overline{S}$, they have the required property, i. e., the exponent sum for each generator in each relator is a multiple of p. Also, since $\operatorname{Im}\iota$ is central in P, we have $w_{xy} = y$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and so T consists of commutators which have the required property. We now consider the relators rw_r^{-1} in R. Some of them will be eliminated, while in others we will replace the subwords w_r with ones satisfying the required property.

Indeed, we can choose a maximal linearly independent subset of

$$W = \{\, w_r \mid r \in R\,\} \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\iota$$

and complete it to a basis of $\operatorname{Im}\iota$. As we have mentioned, without loss of generality we may assume that this basis coincides with Y. All generators $y = w_r \in W \cap Y$ may be eliminated, because we have a relation $w_r = r$ and r does not involve any $y \in Y$. The remaining words $w_r \in W \setminus Y$ are linear combinations of such generators, hence after the elimination they will become words in R which satisfy the needed property by induction. The words in $S \cup T$ are commutators and powers y^p, hence will retain the needed property, too. The resulting presentation of P clearly has the required property. □

4. Proof of main theorem

The following result will be used.

Proposition 4 (Gaschütz' Theorem [1, (10.4)])**.** *Let p be a prime, V a normal abelian p-subgroup of a finite group G, and $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$. Then G splits over V if and only if P splits over V.*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. We denote $\omega_0 = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} \omega$. Assume to the contrary that there is $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $S = \mathrm{St}(\omega)$ is not liftable to H. Let $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(S)$. Since A is an abelian p-group, Proposition 4 implies that P is not liftable to H. Let $\langle\, X \mid R \,\rangle$ be a finite presentation for P with the property that $\mu_x(r) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for every $x \in X$, $r \in R$. Such a presentation exists by Proposition 2.

Let $F = F\langle X\rangle$ be the free group with basis $X = \{x_1, \dots x_n\}$. For every $x \in X$, we denote $\underline{x} = x\gamma \in P$, where $\gamma : F \to P$ is the presentation homomorphism, and choose $\overline{x} \in H$ so that

$$\overline{x}\pi = \underline{x}. \tag{7}$$

There exists a relator $r = r(x_1, \dots x_n) \in R$ such that $\overline{r} = r(\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_n) \neq 1$ in H. Indeed, otherwise the subgroup

$$\overline{P} = \langle\, \overline{x} \mid x \in X \,\rangle \leqslant H$$

would satisfy the same relations as P and so the map $[x \mapsto \overline{x}]$, $x \in X$, would give rise to a homomorphism $\sigma : P \to \overline{P}$ with the property $\sigma\pi = \mathrm{id}_P$. But this means that $\overline{P}$ would be a splitting of the full preimage $P\pi^{-1}$ contrary to the assumption.

Since

$$\overline{r}\pi = r(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n) = 1, \tag{8}$$

we see that $\overline{r} = a\iota$ for a nonzero $a \in A$. By assumption, H is a subextension of $G \leftthreetimes V$ with respect to (1). Hence $\overline{r}\beta = a\omega_0$, where the embedding β is as in (3). Also, we can write $\overline{x}_i\beta = g_i v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, for suitable $g_i \in G$, $v_i \in V$. Observe that $g_i = \underline{x}_i$ due to (7) and the commutativity of diagram (3). Let $r = x_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l}$ with $i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$, $k = 1, \dots, l$. Define a homomorphism $F \to G \leftthreetimes V$ by extending the map $x_i \mapsto \underline{x}_i v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Using (5) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\omega_0 = \overline{r}\beta = r(\overline{x}_1\beta, \dots, \overline{x}_n\beta) = r(\underline{x}_1 v_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n v_n) = r(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n) \\ \times (v_1 \frac{\partial r}{\partial \underline{x}_1} + \dots + v_n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \underline{x}_n}) = v_1 \sum_{\{k \mid i_k = 1\}} \varepsilon_k \underline{f}_k + \dots + v_n \sum_{\{k \mid i_k = n\}} \varepsilon_k \underline{f}_k, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $f_k \in F$ is given by (4) and $\underline{f}_k = f_k\gamma \in P$. We can decompose

$$V = A\omega \oplus V_0,$$

where V_0 the A-linear span of $\Omega \setminus \omega$, and write $v_i = a_i\omega + w_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, for suitable $a_i \in A$ and $w_i \in V_0$. Since $\underline{f}_k \in S$ stabilizes ω, it also stabilizes V_0. Therefore, the right-hand side of (9) can be rewritten as

$$a_1 \left(\sum_{\{k \mid i_k = 1\}} \varepsilon_k \right) \omega + w_1' + \dots + a_n \left(\sum_{\{k \mid i_k = n\}} \varepsilon_k \right) \omega + w_n', \tag{10}$$

where $w_i' = \sum_k \varepsilon_k w_i \underline{f}_k$ lies in V_0 for each i. Observe that

$$\sum_{\{k \mid i_k = i\}} \varepsilon_k = \mu_{x_i}(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for every i by assumption. Since A has characteristic p, (10) equals $\sum_i w_i' = w'$, an element of V_0. We now compare the coefficients of ω for w' and $a\omega_0$. Since V is free as an A-module, these coefficients must coincide. However, the former is 0 and the latter is $a \neq 0$, a contradiction. □

References

[1] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory. 2nd ed.*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[2] D. F. Holt, B. Eick, and E. A. O'Brien, *Handbook of computational group theory*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.

[3] D. L. Johnson, *Presentations of groups. 2nd ed.*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts, vol. 15, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[4] Yu. V. Kuzmin, *Homological group theory*, Advanced Studies in Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 1, Factorial Press, Moscow, 2006.

[5] A.V. Zavarnitsine, *Subextensions for a permutation $PSL_2(q)$-module*, Sib. Elect. Math. Reports **10** (2013), 551–557.

[6] ———, *Embedding central extensions of simple linear groups into wreath products*, Sib. Elect. Math. Reports **13** (2016), 361–365.

Andrei V. Zavarnitsine, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 4, Koptyug av., 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia,

E-mail address: zav@math.nsc.ru